

### Three Approaches to Curriculum

Issue	Traditional Approach	Learner-Driven Approach	Critical Approach
Who determines curriculum?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Curriculum developer (publisher, state, institution) sets goals and chooses learning experiences, evaluates, plans, and proposes curriculum</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Students articulate learning goals that spring from their real-world roles</li> <li>▪ Students help plan curriculum</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Teacher leads the class while following the lead of learners</li> <li>▪ Students, rather than “outsiders,” become experts</li> </ul>
What does knowledge look like?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Appears neutral and equitable in its availability</li> <li>▪ Exists “out there,” can be organized and transmitted</li> <li>▪ Is observable and measurable</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Created through the interaction of student and text</li> <li>▪ Builds on what learners already know</li> <li>▪ Relevant to students’ real-life context</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Not fixed—dependent upon interaction among students, text, and teacher</li> <li>▪ Autobiographic—depends on the politics of identity brought to learning</li> <li>▪ Complex interaction between text, the teacher, and what is taught</li> <li>▪ Knowledge is created, rather than taken in</li> </ul>
What are the underlying assumptions?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Pre-determined goals</li> <li>▪ Learning happens in a linear, step-by-step fashion</li> <li>▪ Expert knowledge is important</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Learning happens in social contexts</li> <li>▪ Instruction is transparent and based on purposes students determine</li> <li>▪ Learners actively build on knowledge and experience</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Education is political</li> <li>▪ Language and power are connected</li> </ul>
What might this look like in action?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ A classroom with lesson plans, homework, grades possibly</li> <li>▪ Skills-based/sequenced textbooks or workbook with predetermined learning goals</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Apolitical on the surface</li> <li>▪ Drawn from adults’ lives in their everyday contexts</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Abandons technician mentality</li> <li>▪ Addresses social and community issues of importance</li> <li>▪ Curriculum not set in advance; emerges from “action and interaction of the participants” (Doll, 1993)</li> </ul>
How is learning assessed?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Objective, observable “scientific” means</li> <li>▪ Can provide comparative scores</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Performance of the student’s contextualized goal</li> <li>▪ Continuing, involving metacognitive strategies</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Portfolios, self-assessment instruments</li> <li>▪ Measures of social and personal change</li> <li>▪ Levels of critical consciousness reached</li> <li>▪ External performance levels do not apply</li> </ul>

